

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/349,380 | 07/09/1999 | JOHN P. JASPER | | 6566 | |
| 7590 07/06/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| LUNDY AND ASSOCIATES | | | SIEFKE, SAMUEL P | | |
| 825 ANTHONY WAYNE BUILDING 203 E BERRY STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| FORT WAYN | | | 1743 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/349,380 | JASPER, JOHN P. | JASPER, JOHN P. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Samuel P. Siefke | 1743 | | | |

| | Samuel P. Siefke | 1743 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the | e final rejection, whicheve | er is later. In no | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | · | • | , | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The proposed amendments raise new issume</u> the deletion of anthropogencally and insertion of issumers. 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | ues that would require further cons | ideration and search, | specifically for ntrations. (See | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | vided below of appended. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,18,20-41,45-50,53,54,70,84,85 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,18,20-41,45-50,53,54,70,84,85 and 90</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | <u>ot</u> be entered s necessary | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | |
| | Sull Warden | | | | | | |
| | Supervisory Patent Exar | niner | | | | | |
| > | Technology Center 17 | 00 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office